

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/931,489	08/21/2001	Gerhard Mersch	60,130-1192; 00MRA0031	7017		
7:	590 06/20/2002			•		
David J. Gask	•	EXAMINER				
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. Suite 350			REDMAN, JERRY E			
400 West Mapl		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Birmingham, M	11 48009		3634	···· SK NONDER		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicatio	nN.	A	pplicant(s)			
· •				09/931,489	9	N	IERSCH, GERHA	ARD		
	Offic	Action Summary		Examiner		A	rt Unit			
				Jerry Red	man	3	634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2001.									
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-21</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-21</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
* -	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	ınder 35 U	I.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F					PTO-413) Paper No tent Application (PT			



Application/Control Number: 09/931,489

Art Unit: 3634

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent to Seeberger et al. in view of Yamashita. Seeberger discloses a door module (1, 3, 8, and 9) and method of assembling comprising of a pair of guide rails having a cable drive system (1), a door module mounting panel (3), an electrical wire harness attached thereto (8), and an electrical drive motor having control electronics (9). Seeberger fails to disclose the electrical drive motor and control electronics to be mounted within a housing. Yamashita discloses a door module having a cavity and cover which contains the electrical drive motor and control electronics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Seeberger with a housing to contain the electric drive motor and control electronics as taught by Yamashita since a contained housing protects the motor drive and electronics from moisture thereby preventing rust or a short in the electronics.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents to Carlo et al., Okamoto et al., Hashimoto et al., Blakewood et al., Skrbina et al., Pfeiffer et al., Barrero Serrano et al., Curtindale et al., and Ueda et al. disclose elements similar to that of the applicants invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/931,489

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner